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Abstract: An \((n,k,d)\) Generalised Doubly Extended Reed-Solomon (GDRS) code such that \(2 \leq k \leq n\) \[-\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)\] \((k\neq 3\) if \(q\) is even) can be extended by one digit while preserving the MDS property if and only if the resulting extended code is also Generalised Reed-Solomon code. In this paper, we have extended Generalised Triply Extended Reed-Solomon (GTRS) code by one digit, and analysed the conditions under which this resulting extended GTRS code becomes MDS or otherwise. In this analysis, we have used the relation \(2 \leq k \leq (n+2) - \left(\frac{q+1}{2}\right)\).
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I. Introduction

For every linear code \((n,k,d)\), we have: \(d \leq n-k+1\). This is known as The Singleton Bound. If \(d = n-k+1\), then \((n,k,d)\) code \(C\) over finite field \(F=GF(q)\), where \(q\) is a positive power of a prime, say \(q=p^m\), \(p\) being prime, \(n\) being a positive integer, is called Maximum Distance Separable (MDS) code. The MDS codes are optimal. It means that they achieve the maximum possible minimum distance for given length \(n\) and dimension \(k\). It is known that for \(k > 1\), the length of MDS codes of dimension \(k\) over finite field \(F=GF(q)\) has maximum upper bound. It is denoted by symbol \(m(q,k)\). It means that MDS codes of dimension \(k\) over finite field \(F=GF(q)\) has maximum length, denoted by \(m(q,k)\). For \(k\geq q\), it has been verified that \(m(q,k) = k+1\). To find the exact value of \(m(q,k)\) for \(2 \leq k < q\), is an open problem. The generally believed conjecture is that for \(2 \leq k < q\), \(m(q,k) = q+1\), except for the cases \(k=3\) and \(k=q-1\) with \(q\) even, in which case \(m(q,k) = q+2\). This conjecture has been proved for some values of \(q\) and \(k\). Reed-Solomon (RS) codes are a special case of a larger family of MDS codes, which are called Generalised Reed-Solomon (GRS) codes. A code \(C\) is called GRS code, if it has a generator matrix:

\[
G = [g_{ij}], \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.
\]

where \(g_{ij} = v_{ij}^{-1}\), \(1 \leq i \leq k\), \(1 \leq j \leq n\). Therefore, \(G = [g_{ij}] = [v_{ij}^{-1}]\), \(1 \leq i \leq k, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n\).

In this definition, \(a_i\)'s are distinct elements of field \(F=GF(q)\); \(v_i\)'s are non-zero but not necessarily distinct elements of field \(F=GF(q)\). Also \(a_i\)'s are called column generators of \(G\); \(v_i\)'s are called column multipliers of \(G\). And \(G\) is called canonical generator matrix of code \(C\). GRS codes exist for any length \(n \leq q\). GRS codes can be extended by appending an extra column of the form:

\[
(0,0,\ldots,0)^T, \quad v \neq 0.
\]

to generator matrix \(G\) of the GRS code, while preserving MDS property. It means that if we append an extra column of the form (2) to generator matrix \(G\) of GRS code, then new generator matrix so obtained will be a generator matrix of new GRS code which is MDS. Therefore, extended GRS code will have a generator matrix \(G_1\) of the form:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\begin{array}{cccc}
v_1 & v_2 & \ldots & v_n \\
v_1a_1 & v_2a_2 & \ldots & v_na_n \\
v_1a_1^2 & v_2a_2^2 & \ldots & v_na_n^2 \\
\vdots & \ldots & \vdots & \vdots \\
v_1a_1^{k-1} & v_2a_2^{k-1} & \ldots & v_na_n^{k-1}
\end{array}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{array}{l}
(0,0,\ldots,0)^T, \quad v \neq 0.
\end{array}
\]

(3)
It should be noted that index of the extra column \((0,0,0,\ldots,v)^T\), \(v \neq 0\), may be any. Here we have put it as the last column of \(G_1\).

It will be said that the extra column \((0,0,0,\ldots,v)^T\), \(v \neq 0\), has \(v\) as column multiplier, and \(\infty\) as column generator. The resulting code having \(G_1\) as generator matrix, is called Generalised Doubly Extended Reed-Solomon(GDRS) code. The basic RS codes are defined as having non-zero elements of the field \(F=GF(q)\) as column generators, that is, \(a_i\)'s are non-zero elements of the field \(F=GF(q)\). The generators are successive powers of a field element, say \(\alpha\), whose order is the code-length \(n\), i.e. \(\alpha^n = e\) (identity element of field) = 1. So, column generators of RS codes are like \(\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \alpha^2, \ldots\). So, as a result, RS code becomes cyclic. For GRS codes, the column generators \(a_i\)'s are distinct elements of field \(F=GF(q)\) including zero element of the field. Moreover, one column \((0,0,0,\ldots,v)^T\), \(v \neq 0\), is appended to generator matrix \(G\) to have generator matrix \(G_1\) of GRS code. This explains why we call the resulting code as Generalised Doubly Extended Reed-Solomon(GDRS) code.

GDRS codes exist for any length \(n \leq (q+1)\). Seroussi and Roth (1986) have proved that for \(2 \leq k \leq \left\lceil \frac{q+1}{2} \right\rceil +2\), except for \(k = 3\) when \(q\) is even, GDRS codes of length \((q+1)\) cannot be further extended while preserving the MDS property. This means that for \(2 \leq k \leq \left\lceil \frac{q+1}{2} \right\rceil +2\), if a unique \((n=q+1, k)\) MDS code exists over field \(F=GF(q)\), then \(m(q,k)=q+1\). This uniqueness is defined up to the equivalence relation which is as follows: Two codes \(C_1,C_2\) over field \(F = GF(q)\) are equivalent, if a permutation \(\pi\) on \(n\) symbols \(\{1,2,3,\ldots,n\}\) and \(n\) non-zero constants \(a_1, a_2,\ldots,a_n \in F = GF(q)\) exist, such that \(C_2 = \{(a_1 \pi(1), a_2 \pi(2), \ldots, a_n \pi(n)) : (c_1, c_2,\ldots, c_n) \in C_1\}\).

For odd \(q\), almost all known MDS codes of length \((q+1)\) are GDRS. In this connection, an example is given by Casse and Glynn, and presented by Hirschfeld (1983), which shows a \((n=q+1=10, k=5)\) MDS code, which is not GRS code. This example falsifies the earlier believed conjecture that MDS codes of length \(n=q+1\) over field \(F=GF(q)\) are GDRS.

Seroussi and Roth (1986) have proved that a GDRS code of length \(n\) and dimension \(k\), such that \(2 \leq k \leq n - \left\lceil \frac{q-1}{2} \right\rceil\), can be extended by one digit while preserving MDS property, iff the resulting code is also MDS. It means that an \((n,k)\) GDRS code over field \(F=GF(q)\) with \(2 \leq k \leq n - \left\lceil \frac{q-1}{2} \right\rceil\), can be uniquely extended to an MDS code of length \(n=q+1\), which is GRS, and which cannot be extended further.

If length of GRS code is \(n\), then length of GDRS code will be \((n+1)\). Let \(C\) be an \((n+1,k,d)\) GDRS code over field \(F=GF(q)\). Let the vector of column generators of \(C\) be denoted by \(a\), where \(a = (a_1, a_2,\ldots, a_n, a_{n+1})\), \(a_i\)'s, \(1 \leq i \leq (n+1)\) being distinct elements of field \(F=GF(q)\) \(\cup \{\infty\}\). Let the vector of column multipliers of code \(C\) be denoted by \(v\), where \(v = (v_1, v_2,\ldots, v_n, v_{n+1})\), \(v_i\)'s, \(1 \leq i \leq (n+1)\) being non-zero elements of field \(F=GF(q)\). Then we denote GDRS code by \(GDRS(n+1,k, a, v)\).

Let \(k\) be any positive integer. Let \(\beta\) be an element of field \(F=GF(q)\). Then we denote the column vector \((1,\beta,\beta^2,\ldots,\beta^{k-1})_{k \times 1}\) by \(u^k(\beta)\). So, \(u^k(\beta) = (1,\beta,\beta^2,\ldots,\beta^{k-1})_{k \times 1}\). Also we define \(u^k(\infty)\) as: \(u^k(\infty) = (0,0,0,\ldots,v)_{k \times 1}\).

The canonical generator matrix of GDRS\((n+1,k, a, v)\) code \(C\) is given by (in terms of above notations):

\[
G_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\alpha_1 & \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_1^3 & \cdots & \alpha_1^{k-1} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots \\
\alpha_n & \alpha_n^2 & \alpha_n^3 & \cdots & \alpha_n^{k-1} \\
v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n & v_{n+1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\alpha_1 \\
v_1
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n & 0 \\
v_1 \alpha_1 & v_1 \alpha_2 & \cdots & v_n \alpha_n & 0 \\
v_1 \alpha_1^2 & v_1 \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & v_n \alpha_n^2 & 0 \\
v_1 \alpha_1^3 & v_1 \alpha_2^3 & \cdots & v_n \alpha_n^3 & 0 \\
v_1 \alpha_1^{k-2} & v_1 \alpha_2^{k-2} & \cdots & v_n \alpha_n^{k-2} & 0 \\
v_1 \alpha_1^{k-1} & v_1 \alpha_2^{k-1} & \cdots & v_n \alpha_n^{k-1} & v
\end{bmatrix}_{k \times (n+1)}
\]

, which is same as (3).

In GDRS codes, we take column generators \(a_i\)'s as distinct elements of field \(F=GF(q)\) including zero element of field. So, we can take one of the \(a_i\)'s equal to zero element of field. Let us take \(a_n = 0\). Then a generator matrix of GDRS code will become as:

\[
G_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\alpha_1 & \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_1^3 & \cdots & \alpha_1^{k-1} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots \\
\alpha_n & \alpha_n^2 & \alpha_n^3 & \cdots & \alpha_n^{k-1} \\
v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n & 0
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n & 0 \\
v_1 \alpha_1 & v_1 \alpha_2 & \cdots & v_n \alpha_n & 0 \\
v_1 \alpha_1^2 & v_1 \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & v_n \alpha_n^2 & 0 \\
v_1 \alpha_1^3 & v_1 \alpha_2^3 & \cdots & v_n \alpha_n^3 & 0 \\
v_1 \alpha_1^{k-2} & v_1 \alpha_2^{k-2} & \cdots & v_n \alpha_n^{k-2} & 0 \\
v_1 \alpha_1^{k-1} & v_1 \alpha_2^{k-1} & \cdots & v_n \alpha_n^{k-1} & v
\end{bmatrix}_{k \times (n+1)}
\]
Then, for any subset $S \subseteq F^t = GF(q)$, and $a = 0$, i.e. if $a$ is zero element of field $F = GF(q)$. Then extension of $F = GF(q)$ to $G^{k \times 1} = GF(q)$, $v \in \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$, is singular if $\alpha_i = \infty$, and is regular otherwise.

Theorem 1 : Let $G$ be the canonical generator matrix of GTRS(n+2,k, $\alpha$, $v$) code over field $F = GF(q)$, where $2 \leq k \leq (n+2)$. Let $G_2$ be canonical generator matrix of code $C$. Then $G_2$ becomes a generator matrix $G_2$ of new code, called Generalised Triply Exteded Reed-Solomon(GTRS) code. Therefore,

$$G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n & 0 & 0 \\
 v_1 \alpha_1 & v_2 \alpha_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
 v_1 \alpha_1^2 & v_2 \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 v_1 \alpha_1^{k-2} & v_2 \alpha_2^{k-2} & \cdots & 0 & v \\
 v_1 \alpha_1^{k-1} & v_2 \alpha_2^{k-1} & \cdots & 0 & v_n \\
\end{bmatrix}_{(n+2) \times (n+2)}$$

(ii) When $k = 2$, and every non-zero column vector $h \in F^k = F^2$ is equal to $v_i u_i^t(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in F \cup \{\infty\}$, and if $\beta$ is not a column generator of $G_2$, then extension of $C$ generated by matrix $[G_2 h]$ will generate MDS code. (iii) When $k = 2$, and every non-zero column vector $h \in F^k = F^2$ is equal to $v_i u_i^t(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in F \cup \{\infty\}$, and if $\beta$ is a column generator of $G_2$, then extension of $C$ generated by matrix $[G_2 h]$ will not generate an MDS code.

Theorem 1 : Let $G$ be the canonical generator matrix of GTRS(n+2,k, $\alpha$, $v$) code over finite field $F = GF(q)$, such that $2 \leq k \leq (n+2)$-dimensional column vector over field $F = GF(q)$. (i) When $k = 2$, and every non-zero column vector $h \in F^k = F^2$ is equal to $v_i u_i^t(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in F \cup \{\infty\}$, and if $\beta$ is not a column generator of $G_2$, then extension of $C$ generated by matrix $[G_2 h]$ will generate MDS code.

(ii) When $k = 2$, and every non-zero column vector $h \in F^k = F^2$ is equal to $v_i u_i^t(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in F \cup \{\infty\}$, and if $\beta$ is a column generator of $G_2$, then extension of $C$ generated by matrix $[G_2 h]$ will not generate an MDS code.

(iii) Let $G_2$ is generator matrix of GTRS(n+2,k+1, $\alpha$, $v$) code, $G_2$ being generator matrix of GTRS(n+2, k, $\alpha$, $v$) code. Let $h \in F^{k+1}$ be a column vector. Let $h^k \in F^k$ be the vector obtained by deleting the last co-ordinate of $h$. Let $h^k = a \cdot u_i^t(\beta)$ for some $a \in F = GF(q)$, and $a = 0$, i.e. if $a$ is zero element of field $F = GF(q)$. Then extension of

II. Some Lemmas and Definition As Pre-requisites

Lemma 1: An $(n,k,d)$ code $C$ is MDS iff every $k$ columns of a generator matrix $G$ of code $C$ are L.I.

Lemma 2: Let $F = GF(q)$, $q > 3$ be the finite field. Let $S$ be a subset of $r$ distinct elements of $F = GF(q)$ such that $a \in S \subseteq F^t$ is zero column vector.

When $k = 2$, and every non-zero column vector $h \in F^k = F^2$ is equal to $v_i u_i^t(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in F \cup \{\infty\}$, and if $\beta$ is not a column generator of $G_2$, then extension of $C$ generated by matrix $[G_2 h]$ will generate MDS code.
C generated by matrix \([G_2|h]\) will generate an MDS code. If \(a \neq 0\), i.e. if \(a\) is not a zero element of field \(F=GF(q)\), then extension of \(C\) generated by matrix \([G_2'|h]\) will not generate an MDS code.

(iii) Let \(h^k \neq a, u^i(x)\) for some \(a \in F=GF(q)\), then extension of \(C\) generated by matrix \([G_2'|h]\) will not generate an MDS code.

Proof: Here \(C\) is an OTS \((n+2,k, a, v)\) code, over finite field \(F=GF(q)\), with canonical generator matrix \(G_2\), such that \(2 \leq k \leq (n+2) - \left(\frac{(q+1)^2}{2}\right)\).

(i) Let \(k=2\). Let \(\beta \in F \cup \{\infty\}\). Now \(u^i(\beta) = (1, \beta, \beta^2, \ldots, \beta^{k-1})_{k \times 1}\).

Therefore, \(u^i(\beta) = (1, \beta)^T_{2 \times 1} = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \beta \end{array}\right] \in F^2\), \(v, \beta \in F^2\) being non-zero, because \(v \neq 0\). Let it be \(h\), being non-zero.

Therefore, non-zero \(h \in F^2\) = \(v \cdot u^i(\beta)\).

Hence, when \(k=2\), then every non-zero column vector \(h \in F^k = F^2\) is equal to \(v \cdot u^i(\beta)\) for some \(\beta \in F \cup \{\infty\}\). If \(\beta\) is not a column generator of \(G_2\), then \(v \cdot u^i(\beta)\) will be some singular column.

Therefore, \([G_2|h]\) will be canonical generator matrix of further extended code. So, extension of \(C\) generated by matrix \([G_2'|h]\) will generate an MDS code.

(ii) But if \(\beta\) is a column generator of \(G_2\), then:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{h} &= v \cdot u^i(\beta) = v \cdot (1, \beta)^T = \left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ v \cdot \beta \end{array}\right) = \text{a linear combination of one column of } G_2
\end{align*}
\]

\(=\) a linear combination of 2-1=k-1 column of \(G_2\).

Therefore, \([G_2|h]\) will have \(k=2\) columns, which will be L.D. (This follows from a result of Linear Algebra, which states: "Let \(m > 1\). Then the vectors \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\) are LD iff one of them is a linear combination of the others"). Hence, \(k=2\) columns of \([G_2|h]\) will not be LI. So, according to Lemma 1, \([G_2|h]\) will not generate an MDS code.

Let \(k \geq 2\) when \(q\) is odd, and for some \(k \geq 4\) when \(q\) is even.

(iii) Let \(G_2'\) is generator matrix of \(GTRS(n+2,k+1, a, v)\) code, where \(k+1 \leq (n+2) - \left(\frac{(q+1)^2}{2}\right)\). We have already taken \(G_2\) as generator matrix of \(GTRS(n+2, k, a, v)\) code. It is clear that \(G_2\) is of order \(k \times (n+2)\) and \(G_2'\) is of order \((k+1) \times (n+2)\). Therefore, it should be noted that \(G_2\) consists of the first \(k\) rows of \(G_2'\) except in the singular column where \(v \cdot u^i(x)\) replaces the first \(k\) entries(zeros) of \(v \cdot u^i(x)\), and \(v \cdot u^i(x)\) replaces the first \(k\) entries(zeros) of \(v \cdot u^i(x)\). This fact can be seen from the figures of \(G_2'\) and \(G_2\) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
G_2 &= \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n & 0 & 0 \\
v_1\alpha & v_2\alpha & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
v_1\alpha^2 & v_2\alpha^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
v_1\alpha^{k-2} & v_2\alpha^{k-2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
v_1\alpha^{k-1} & v_2\alpha^{k-1} & \cdots & 0 & v & v
\end{bmatrix}_{k \times (n+2)} \\
G_2' &= \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n & 0 & 0 \\
v_1\alpha & v_2\alpha & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
v_1\alpha^2 & v_2\alpha^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
v_1\alpha^{k-2} & v_2\alpha^{k-2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
v_1\alpha^{k-1} & v_2\alpha^{k-1} & \cdots & 0 & v & v
\end{bmatrix}_{(k+1) \times (n+2)}
\end{align*}
\]

Let \(h \in F^{k+1}\) be a column vector. Let \(h^k \in F^k\) be the vector obtained by deleting the last co-ordinate of \(h\). Therefore, we can write:

\[
h = \begin{bmatrix} h^k \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \eta \in F=GF(q).
\]
Let \( h^k = a \cdot u^k(x) \) for some \( a \in F=GF(q) \). If \( a=0 \), i.e. if \( a \) is zero element of field \( F=GF(q) \), then:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Therefore, \( h = \begin{bmatrix} h^k \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \eta \).

Hence, \( h = \eta \cdot u^{k+1}(x), \eta \in F=GF(q) \), not necessarily column generator of \( G_2^1 \). So, vector \( h \) will be some singular column \( G_2^1 \). Therefore, \( \left[G_2^1 \right] h \) will be canonical generator matrix of further extended code. So, extension of \( C \) generated by matrix \( \left[G_2^1 \right] h \) will generate an MDS code. Let \( h^k = a \cdot u^k(x) \) for some \( a \in F=GF(q) \), and \( a \neq 0 \), i.e. if \( a \) is not zero element of field \( F=GF(q) \).

Then: \( h = \begin{bmatrix} h^k \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}, \eta \in F=GF(q) \)

\[
= \begin{bmatrix} a \cdot u^k(x) \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}, a \in F=GF(q)
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix} a \cdot \left[ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \ldots \ 0 \right]_{k+1 \times 1} \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( \delta = \frac{\eta}{a}, a \neq 0, a \in F \).

Let us take \( \delta = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{ji} \).

Therefore, \( h = a \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{ji} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{j1} + \alpha_{j2} + \ldots + \alpha_{jk}
\end{array} \right]_{(k+1) \times 1} \)
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
\alpha_{j_1} & \alpha_{j_2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha & \alpha \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\mu \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[= a_1 \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
\alpha_{j_1} & \alpha_{j_2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha & \alpha \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\mu \\
\end{bmatrix} + \ldots + a_k \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
\alpha_{j_1} & \alpha_{j_2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha & \alpha \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\mu \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Therefore, \(h\) is a linear combination of \(k\) vectors:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_{j_1} \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_{j_2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_{j_{k-1}} \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_{j_k} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\mu \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Let \(a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \ldots, a_{j_{k-2}}\) be \((k-2)\) distinct regular column generators of \(G_2^t\). We need that these \(a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \ldots, a_{j_{k-2}}\) do not add up to \(\delta\), because \(\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ji}\).

Let us define:

\[\mu = \delta - \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \alpha_{ji}.\]

**Claim**: There exist two distinct regular column generator \(a_{j_{k-1}}, a_{j_k}\) of \(G_2^t\), which are not in the set:

\[{a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \ldots, a_{j_{k-2}}}{\}}

and which satisfy:

\[a_{j_{k-1}} + a_{j_k} = \mu.\]

Hence \(\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ji}\), and \(h\) will be a linear combination of \(k\) columns of \(G_2^t\).

**Proof of Claim**:

We note that the elements of field \(F=GF(q)\) can be arranged in \(\left\lfloor \frac{q+1}{2} \right\rfloor\) pairwise unordered and disjoint pairs: \(P_i = \{a_i, b_i\}\), \(1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{q+1}{2} \right\rfloor\),

such that \(a_i + b_i = \mu\).

When \(q\) is odd, then there is exactly one such pair in which \(a_i = b_i\). For example, because \(q > 3\), so let \(q = 5 = \{0,1,2,3,4\}\). Then \(\mu=(3+4)(\text{mod } 5)=2\). Then unordered and disjoint pairs of the field \(F=GF(q=5=5)\) elements such that \(a_i + b_i = \mu = 2\) are: \{0,2\}, \{1,1\}, \{3,4\}. So there is exactly one pair \(\{1,1\}\), in which \(a = b = 1\). If \(\mu=(2+3)(\text{mod } 5)=0\), then unordered and disjoint pairs of the field \(F=GF(q=5=5)\) elements such that \(a_i + b_i = \mu = 2\) are: \{0,0\}, \{1,4\}, \{2,3\}. So again there is exactly one pair \(\{0,0\}\) in which \(a = b = 0\). Similarly, by choosing different elements of each different fields \(F=GF(q)\), \(q > 3\), \(q\) is odd, and hence taking different values of \(\mu\), we can show that when \(q\) is odd, then there is exactly one pair in which \(a = b\).

When \(q\) is even, then \(a_i \neq b_i\) for all \(i\). For example, because \(q > 3\), so let \(q = 8 = 2^3 = \{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}\). Then \(\mu=(4+5)(\text{mod } 8)=1\). Then unordered and disjoint pairs of the field \(F=GF(q=8=2)\) elements such that \(a_i + b_i = \mu = 1\) are: \{0,1\}, \{2,7\}, \{3,6\}, \{4,5\}. If \(\beta=(6+7)(\text{mod } 8)=5\), then unordered and disjoint pairs of the field \(F=GF(q=8)\) elements such that \(a_i + b_i = \mu = 5\) are: \{0,5\}, \{1,4\}, \{2,3\}, \{6,7\}. If \(\mu=(4+4)(\text{mod } 8)=0\), then unordered and disjoint pairs of the field \(F=GF(q=8)\) elements such that \(a_i + b_i = \mu = 0\) are: \{0,0\}, \{1,7\}, \{2,6\}, \{3,5\}, \{4,4\}. Therefore, if \(\mu\) is taken as 0, then there is \(a = b\) in one pair. If \(\mu\) is not taken as 0, then \(a_i \neq b_i\) for all \(i\).

Therefore, we conclude that when \(q\) is odd ( \(q\) being greater than 3), then there is exactly one such pair \(\in P_i\), in which \(a_i = b_i\). When \(q\) is even ( \(q\) being greater than 3), \(\mu \neq 0\), then \(a_i \neq b_i\) for all \(i\), and \(\mu\) should not be equal to zero, otherwise \(\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \alpha_{ji}\), which is not permissible, because \(\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{ji}\).
Now in the Theorem, we have the condition: $2 \leq k \leq (n+2) \left\lfloor \frac{(q+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$.

With respect to $G_i^j$ being a generator matrix of $GTRS(n+2,k+1, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ code, the above condition becomes as:

$$2 \leq k+1 \leq (n+2) \left\lfloor \frac{(q+1)}{2} \right\rfloor \Rightarrow k+1 \leq (n+2) \left\lfloor \frac{(q+1)}{2} \right\rfloor \Rightarrow n-k-1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{(q+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$$

So, we see that at least one of the pairs $P_i$ will contain two distinct regular generators of $G_i^j$, which are different from $a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \ldots, a_{j_{k-1}}$, i.e. which are not in the set $\{ a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \ldots, a_{j_{k-1}} \}$ and which satisfy $a_{j_1} + a_{j_k} = \mu$. Hence $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i$. So, $\mathbf{h}$ is a linear combination of $k$ columns of $G_i^j$, and hence $k+1$ columns of $[G_i^j ]h$ are L.D. Hence $k+1$ columns of $[G_i^j ]h$ are not LI. Hence by Lemma 1, $[G_i^j ]h$ will not generate an MDS code.

(iv) Let $\mathbf{h} \neq a. \mathbf{u}^i(\infty)$ for some $a \in F=GF(q)$ and $\mathbf{h}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $(k-1)$ columns of $G_2$.

Here we see that conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied. Therefore, $\mathbf{h}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $(k-1)$ regular columns of $G_2$. So, this linear combination does not include the singular columns of $G_2$.

Therefore, $\mathbf{h}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $(k-1)$ columns of $G_2$, which give $\mathbf{h}$ in $G_2$, extended to length $k+1$, plus, a suitable scalar multiple of $\mathbf{u}^i(\infty) = [0,0,0,\ldots,0,1]_{(k+1)x1}$ which is chosen such that the value of $\eta$ is obtained in the $(k+1)^{th}$ entry.

Therefore, $\mathbf{h}$ may be like this:

$$\mathbf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_{k-1} & 0 \\ v_1 \alpha_1 & v_2 \alpha_2 & \cdots & v_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1} & 0 \\ v_1 \alpha_1^2 & v_2 \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_1 \alpha_1^{k-2} & v_2 \alpha_2^{k-2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ v_1 \alpha_1^{k-1} & v_2 \alpha_2^{k-1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{k-1} & a_k \end{bmatrix}$$

where $a_i$s are scalars $\in$ field $F=GF(q)$. Clearly $\eta$ will be given by the $(k+1)^{th}$ entry of $\mathbf{h}$, that is,$$

\eta = a_1.(v_1(a_k^1) + a_2.(v_2(a_k^2) + \ldots a_{k-1}.(v_{k-1}(a_k^{k-1}) + a_k.1))

(13)

Therefore, $\mathbf{h}$ can be written as:

$$\mathbf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_{k-1} & 0 \\ v_1 \alpha_1 & v_2 \alpha_2 & \cdots & v_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1} & 0 \\ v_1 \alpha_1^2 & v_2 \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_1 \alpha_1^{k-2} & v_2 \alpha_2^{k-2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ v_1 \alpha_1^{k-1} & v_2 \alpha_2^{k-1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a_1(v_1 \alpha_1^1) + a_2(v_2 \alpha_2^1 + \ldots a_{k-1}.(v_{k-1}(a_k^{k-1}) + a_k.(1)) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$a_1(v_1 \alpha_1^1) + a_2(v_2 \alpha_2^1 + \ldots a_{k-1}.(v_{k-1}(a_k^{k-1}) + a_k.(1))$$
where $h^k$ is a linear combination of (k-1) regular columns of $G_2$. 
Therefore, $h^k$ can be expressed as a linear combination of k columns of $G_2^t$, and hence k+1 columns of $[G_2^t | h]$ are L.D. Hence k+1 columns of $[G_2^t | h]$ are not LI. Hence by Lemma 1, $[G_2^t | h]$ will not generate an MDS code.

(v) Let $h^k \neq a \cdot u^t(\infty)$ for some $a \in F=GF(q)$ and let $h^k$ can not be expressed as a linear combination of (k-1) columns of $G_2$, and let a=0.

So, in this case, $h^k$ can be appended to $G_2$, while preserving MDS property.

Because $h^k \neq a \cdot u^t(\infty)$ (given), so we can have:

$h^k = v \cdot u^t(\lambda)$, where $v \neq 0$, and $\lambda$ is not a column generator of $G_2$, and hence also not of $G_2^t$.

Consider: $h = v \cdot [ u^{k+1}(\lambda) + a \cdot u^{k+1}(\infty) ] = v \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda \\ \lambda^2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda^{k-1} \\ \lambda^k \\ \vdots \\ v \cdot \lambda^k + v(\eta / v - \lambda^k) \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}$

If $a = (\eta / v) - \lambda^k$, then $h$ will become as:

$h = v \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v \\ v \lambda \\ v \lambda^2 \\ \vdots \\ v \lambda^{k-1} \\ v \cdot \lambda^k + v(\eta / v - \lambda^k) \end{bmatrix}$

Therefore, difference between $h^k$ and $h$ is that if we delete $\eta$, i.e. (k+1)st entry of $h$, from $h$, we get $h^k$, which is:

$h^k = v \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v \\ v \lambda \\ v \lambda^2 \\ \vdots \\ v \lambda^{k-1} \\ v \cdot \lambda^k + v(\eta / v - \lambda^k) \end{bmatrix}$

Therefore, $h^k = v \cdot u^{k+1}(\lambda)$, which is there.
So, we can take \( a = (\eta \lambda) - \lambda^k \).

If \( a = 0 \), then: \( \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{v} \left[ \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\lambda) + a \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\infty) \right] = \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\lambda) \)

Because \( \lambda \) is not a column generator of \( G_2 \) and hence also not of \( G_2' \), therefore, \( \mathbf{h} \) will be some singular column of \( G_2' \).

Therefore, \( [G_2'/\mathbf{h}] \) will be canonical generator matrix of further extended code. So, extension of \( C \) generated by matrix \( [G_2'/\mathbf{h}] \) will generate an MDS code.

Let \( \mathbf{h}^k \neq \mathbf{u}^k(\infty) \) for some \( a \in \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{GF}(q) \), let \( \mathbf{h}^k \) can not be expressed as a linear combination of \((k-1)\) columns of \( G_2 \), and let \( a \neq 0 \).

In this situation, we use Lemma 2 by taking number of elements of set \( S \) as \( r = n+2 \), so that \( S = \{ \lambda, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+2} \} \) and \( S' \) (as subset of set \( S \) = \{ \lambda, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1} \} ), and because \( \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{v} \left[ \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\lambda) + a \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\infty) \right] \), we see that \( \mathbf{h} \) lies in the linear span over field \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{GF}(q) \) of the vectors \( \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\alpha_2), \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\alpha_3), \ldots, \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\alpha_{k+1}) \), that is, \( \mathbf{h} \) can be expressed as a linear combination of vectors: \( \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\alpha_2), \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\alpha_3), \ldots, \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u}^{k+1}(\alpha_{k+1}) \).

\[ \text{i.e. of vectors: } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3^2 \\ \alpha_3^3 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_3^{k+1} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_3^2 \\ \alpha_3^3 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_3^{k+1} \end{bmatrix}, \ldots, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_{k+1} \\ \alpha_{k+1}^2 \\ \alpha_{k+1}^3 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{k+1}^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \text{i.e. of } k \text{ columns of } G_2'. \]

Therefore, \( \mathbf{h} \) can be expressed as a linear combination of \( k \) columns of \( G_2' \), and hence \( k+1 \) columns of \( [G_2'/\mathbf{h}] \) are L.D. Hence \( k+1 \) columns of \( [G_2'/\mathbf{h}] \) are not L.I. Hence by Lemma 1, \( [G_2'/\mathbf{h}] \) will not generate an MDS code.

References